

12K 26.
18 SEP 1963

23-6972

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

OFFICE OF NATIONAL ESTIMATES

10 September 1963

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

25X1A

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

Herewith comments which you may wish to forward to
the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board.

FOR THE BOARD OF NATIONAL ESTIMATES:

25X1A

[REDACTED]
SHERMAN KENT
Chairman

1
CLASSIFIED
UNCLASSIFIED
CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S © 1992
NEXT REVIEW DATE:
AUTH: HR 70-2
DATE: 1-26-82 REVIEW [REDACTED]

25X1

2EB 10 1 31 BW .P3

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~

10 September 1963

25X1A

COMMENTS

25X1A

Virtually every recommendation [redacted]
has merit, though to the full-time professional estimator
some aspects of the Committee's suggestions have greater
practical appeal than others. Specific comments follow:

a. Re paragraph 1, page R1, "Cut down the
number of coordinated papers."

The need to guard against overloading, and to assure ample time for deliberation is a very valid point, and cutting down the number of coordinated papers may be a sensible move. My own thought is that the remedy may lie, not in rejecting certain countries as unsuitable for estimates, but in modifying the long-standing approach to country estimates so that they concentrate more on certain key estimative questions about the country, and avoid the across-the-board treatment which is time-consuming and often more appropriate for departmental intelligence or other forms of staff studies.

b. Re paragraph 2, page R2, Composition of the Board of National Estimates.

Certainly no disagreement in principle with the rotating members proposal, but experience shows that, except in the most unusual cases, a man is just beginning to make his contribution after a year, and the short-term concept would involve considerable waste of talent. Furthermore, whatever may be the desideratum, recruiting men with the appropriate qualifications and

~~SECRET~~
CONFIDENTIAL

seniority for such service is quite another thing. It is terribly difficult. On the whole, we see more promise in the ad hoc use of selected consultants to obtain fresh perspectives (Recommendation No. 4, page R3) than in this proposal. We have a comprehensive plan for this sort of thing in the works.

c. Re paragraph 5, page R3, Indicate when earlier estimates are being quoted or paraphrased.

We have no quarrel with the desirability of constant comparison with, and reexamination of, earlier estimates. We do it ourselves all the time, and it might prove useful to the consumer to provide more such references. It would, however, be a mistake to set up any formal routine or device for doing so, since its usefulness and how it is best done differ widely with cases.

d. Re paragraph 6, page R4, Be bolder in estimating impact of US policy and attitudes.

We are all aware of the tricky problems and dangers here, but concur in the Committee's feeling that something ought to be done. One possibility: some loosening of present restrictions on certain documents relevant to key estimative questions but often denied to those charged with drafting the estimates.

- 2 -

CONFIDENTIAL